



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/828,550	04/21/2004	Daniel R. Neal	WFS.006CIP	5453		
20987	7590	08/29/2008	EXAMINER			
VOLENTINE & WHITT PLLC ONE FREEDOM SQUARE 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE SUITE 1260 RESTON, VA 20190				STULTZ, JESSICA T		
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER				
2873						
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE				
08/29/2008		PAPER				

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/828,550	NEAL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JESSICA T. STULTZ	2873	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) JESSICA T. STULTZ. (3) David Weber (by telephone).  
 (2) Ken Springer. (4) Dr. Daniel Neal (by telephone).

Date of Interview: 27 August 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 18,23,24,26,27,32,33,35-37,42,43 and 47.

Identification of prior art discussed: Williams US 5,777,719 and Mihashi US 6,042,233.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Rejections of the above claims were discussed with respect to Williams and Mihashi, with respect to the amendments to the independent claims..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jessica T Stultz/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873	
---	--